

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**  
**PCT**  
**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT**  
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 22 MAR 2005



WIPO PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference BLOcp226/110		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/IB 03/05569	International filing date (day/month/year) 05.11.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.11.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K38/16			
Applicant INSTITUT PASTEUR			

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.
  - ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of    sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
  - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
  - II ☐ Priority
  - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
  - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
  - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
  - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 02.06.2004	Date of completion of this report 21.03.2005
Name and mailing address of the International preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer  Ludwig, G  Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8698 

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/IB 03/05569**

**I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

**Description, Pages**

1-14, 16-46 as originally filed  
15 received on 28.01.2004 with letter of 28.01.2004

**Claims, Numbers**

1-71 as originally filed

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/9-9/9 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:  
☐ the claims, Nos.:  
☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/B 03/05569**

---

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

*(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)*

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	6,11-14,17-20,23-37,40,42-45,48-49,52,54-61,63-70
	No: Claims	1-5,7-10,15-16,21-22,38-39,41,46-47,50-51,53,62,71
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	23-37,40,42-45,48-49,60-61
	No: Claims	1-22,38-39,41,46-47,50-59,62-71
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-71 (cf.text)
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

**see separate sheet**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

---

International application No. PCT/IB 03/05569

D1: WO 00/63251

D2: WO 93/01820

D3: EMBO Reports 4,723-728 (2003) - **P-document**

D4: J. Exp. Med. 197, 823-829 (2003) - **P-document**

*cf. the citations indicated in the International search report*

**Item V:**

1. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-10, 15-16, 21-22, 38-39, 41, 46-47, 50-51, 53, 62, and 71 are not regarded as novel vis-a-vis document D1 (cf. also page 21, line 15, page 22, lines 5-20, pages 22-28: titles of examples 4-8, claims 1-26).

Claims 1-22, 38-39, 41, 46-47, 50-59, and 62-71 are not considered to be inventive vis-a-vis this document.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 38-39, 46, and 71 are not regarded as novel vis-a-vis document D2.
3. P-documents D3-D4 could be held against novelty/inventive step of the claimed subject-matter if the priority of the application would *not* be valid.
4. For the assessment of the present claims 63-69 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.
5. Claims 1-2, 9-10, 38-39, 42-43, 46, 62, and 71 lack clarity since the medicaments and/or diseases are defined by the aim to be achieved/method of screening.